

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/056,887	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DEGIULIO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BARBARA N. BURGESS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2457	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Christopher Moreno.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Afternoon

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1, 2, 6, 9, 30

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Christopher Moreno to propose amendments to place the application in condition for allowance. Christopher Moreno gave authorization to Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment based on the proposed amendments. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith..